

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

## PCT

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
see form PCT/ISA/220

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**  
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.  
PCT/IB2004/004261

International filing date (day/month/year)  
23.12.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)  
25.12.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
B42F5/00, B42F5/04

Applicant  
AVRAAMIDES, Helen

#### 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

#### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

#### 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office  
D-80298 Munich  
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d  
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Louvion, B

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2845



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

10/584250

International application No.  
PCT/IB2004/004261

IAP5 Rec'd PCT/PTO 23 JUN 2006

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
  - ☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material:
    - ☐ a sequence listing
    - ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material:
    - ☐ in written format
    - ☐ in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing:
    - ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
    - ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
    - ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/IB2004/004261

---

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43b/s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

---

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-11
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-11
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-11
	No: Claims	

**2. Citations and explanations**

**see separate sheet**

**I. Ad Section I.:**

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
- D1: DE 94 22 401 U1 (THALHOFER, EGON; THALHOFER, FRANK) 21 June 2001  
D2: EP-A-1 060 910 (EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY) 20 December 2000  
D3: US-A-5 301 445 (HOFFMEISTER ET AL) 12 April 1994

**II. Ad Section V.:**

- 2 The claimed invention for which protection is sought can be made or used (in the technological sense) in any kind of industry and shall therefore be considered industrially applicable according to Article 33(4)PCT.
- 3 None of the cited documents discloses all the features of claim 1.
- 3.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 4 The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33 and Rule 65, because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step.
- 5 Document **D1**, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a device from which the subject-matter of claim 1 differs in that :
- (1) at least one photograph display device for displaying at least one photograph.
- 5.1 Feature (1) is described in document **D2** as providing the same advantages as in the present application. The skilled person would therefore regard it as a normal design option to include this feature in the device described in document **D1** in order to solve the problem posed.
- 6 Dependent claims **2-11** do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, involve an inventive step.
- 7 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents **D1**, **D2** is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.